

time on this amendment you will know exactly what you are talking about insofar as the constitutional amendment is concerned. It may be one of the first times we all know what we are doing today or in this session. But, secondly, the people, whether they accept it or reject it, will know exactly what they are doing, and that is something that they will not do no matter what form or in whatever form Constitutional Amendment 186 is offered to them. I ask that you support this amendment. I think that you will not be sorry if you do, and as has been said earlier, eventually, ladies and gentlemen, we are going to be at that point, why not now? Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schmit. You have heard Senator Schmit's closing on the amendment. We will now vote on the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. A record vote has been requested. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See pages 2616-17 of the Legislative Journal.) 4 ayes, 22 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment fails.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to amend the resolution. (See AM2163 on page 2617 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, this is an amendment which I read, suggested as a consideration in an editorial that struck me as having a great deal of merit, and as I have been listening to the discussion today on the floor, it seems to me that it has even greater merit. What it does, it puts into the Constitution the requirement that the Legislature will review at least not later...not less than every 10 years any exemption that has been granted by the Legislature on tangible personal property; that it would terminate at 10-year intervals so it could be reviewed for the appropriateness of its continuation. As I was listening to Senator Kristensen earlier today, he spoke a couple of times on the history of uniformity and the history of personal property taxation in the state, and as I have read a number of the older publications, you see where from time to time a variety of exemptions for personal property were enacted or proposed, sometimes thrown out because of constitutional problems, the